

Jan 25

## **AAS - Revised guidelines for reviewers**

Take the following items into consideration when reviewing a paper for Acta Academiae Stromstadiensis (AAS)

**Relevance of the topic**: is the paper a real contribution to a field of research, i.e. are there new elements, which enrich prior work in the field? Also theretical contributions focussed on theory or method can be considered.

**Conceptual foundation**: are prior contributions taken into consideration and discussed in relation to the objectives of the paper? Are important concepts defined, characterized and explemplified?

**Employed methodology**: is the methodology adapted to the type of study developed in the paper?

**Apropriate analysis**: are the claims of the study well supported empirically and/or theoretically and is the theoretical argumentation sound? If relevant consider both reliability and validity.

Interdisciplinary relevance: does the paper have any interdisciplinary relevance?

**Novelty and usefulness of findings**: do the authors make clear both new contributions of the paper, as well as possible limitations of the paper.

**Readability**: is the paper fluently written, in correct and clear language? When new terminoilogy or techniques are introduced, are they adequately defined and/or explained?

**Length of paper**: Unless there is a good reason, papers should normally be no longer than 8000 words. If relevant please suggest possible abbreviations.

## To what extent do you, as reviewer, accept or reject this paper as it now stands.

() Accept as it is () Revision required\* () Rejected\*

\*Please be specific regarding criticism and suggestions. If possible provide guidelines to help develop the paper further.

Could your comments be sent to the authors ( ) yes ( ) no